1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
3	
4	ALTON NOLEN,
5	Petitioner,
6	vs. Case No. CIV-22-272-F
7	JIM FARRIS,
8	Respondent.
9	
10	
11	
12	TRANSCRIPT OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE GARY M. PURCELL
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	MAY 24, 2022
16	11:00 A.M.
17	
18	
19	APPEARANCES
20	
21	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Mr. Hunter S. Labovitz and Ms. Katherine E.
22	Ensler, Federal Community Defender's Office, 601 Walnut Street, Suite 545 West, Philadelphia, PA 19106
23	FOR THE DEFENDANT: Ms. Caroline E.J. Hunt, Attorney General's
24	Office, 313 N.E. 21st Street, Oklahoma City, OK 73105
25	Proceedings recorded by digital recording; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

```
1
         (PROCEEDINGS HAD ON MAY 24, 2022.)
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Let's see if we're all hooked up
 3
    here.
           Let's see.
 4
         Mr. Labovitz, can you hear me?
 5
              MR. LABOVITZ: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: And Ms. Ensler, can you hear me?
 7
              MS. ENSLER: Yes.
                                 Good morning, Your Honor.
                          Okav.
                                 If there is ever a time you can't
 8
              THE COURT:
   hear what we're saying, I want you to just interrupt us and
 9
10
    tell us that you cannot hear us. Okay?
              MR. LABOVITZ: Yes, Your Honor.
11
12
              THE COURT: Okay. Let's see here. Let me get
13
    organized. All right.
14
         The Court calls the case of Alton Nolen, Petitioner, vs.
15
    Jim Farris, Warden. This is case CIV-22-272-F. The record
16
    should indicate this matter comes on for a regularly scheduled
17
    case management hearing.
                              The record should indicate that
18
    Hunter Labovitz and Katherine Ensler are present representing
19
    the Petitioner, who does not appear. And Ms. Carolyn Hunt is
20
    here representing the Respondent.
2.1
         The record should further indicate that on March 31, 2022,
22
    the petitioner filed his pro se request for appointment of
2.3
    counsel and that this matter has been assigned to this Court by
    the assigned judge in this matter, Stephen Friot. And has been
24
25
    assigned for the purpose of case management proceedings.
```

1 On April 5th, 2022, I entered orders appointing the 2 Capital Habeas Unit of the Federal Community Defender's Office 3 of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania as Petitioner's court-appointed counsel, and I set this matter for the case 4 5 management conference being held today. I, at this time, refer 6 the parties to General Order 10-1 filed in this Court on 7 January 11th, 2011, and they are advised to comply therewith. As indicated by my previous order, the purpose of this 8 9 conference or hearing is to discuss the factual, legal issues 10 involved in the matter, if necessary; the dates for the filing 11 of the petition, the response, Petitioner's reply; I will 12 further take up the Petitioner's application to proceed in 13 forma pauperis and also whether or not discovery will be 14 requested by either party; and I will set a date by which all 15 the discovery motions must be filed and whether or not an 16 evidentiary hearing would be sought; and I will set a date by 17 which a motion for evidentiary hearing must be filed. 18 I further requested the parties to consult with each other 19 at least three days prior to today. Ms. Hunt, I assume counsel 20 for the Respondent has done that with the Petitioner's counsel; 2.1 is that correct? 22 MS. HUNT: Yes, Your Honor. 2.3 THE COURT: And I believe I'll hear Ms. Hunt easier. 24 Have you all agreed on a date or is there a difference of 25 opinion?

```
1
              MS. HUNT:
                         There's a difference of opinion, Your
 2
    Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Very well. On behalf of the Petitioners
 4
    -- Petitioner, Mr. Labovitz, what day do you request to file
 5
    the petition?
 6
              MR. LABOVITZ: Yes, Your Honor. We are requesting
 7
    the date of January 27th, 2023, which, by our calculations, is
    the statutory deadline under the ADDEA (sic), the one-year
 8
    deadline. So we would be requesting the entire time that we
 9
10
    are permitted under the statute.
              THE COURT: Okay. What is the -- Mr. Farris's
11
12
    position?
13
              MS. HUNT: Your Honor, we object to that request.
14
    believe a filing date somewhere in November would be more in
15
    line with common practice in this Court to try to have the
16
    response filed by the deadline.
17
                          It is the practice in this Court, I will
              THE COURT:
18
    tell counsel for the Petitioner, to have the matter fully
19
    briefed and ready for decision -- now, not necessarily hearing
20
    or other matters that may arise between now and then, but to
2.1
    have it -- have basically the reply submitted to the Court to
22
    the extent that, if you will, the initial petition is fully
2.3
    presented to the Court along with the response and the reply
24
    well in advance of a year from today. So let me do some
25
    calculations and see where we are on this. I'll just come up
```

1 with my own, if necessary. 2 I need to get a calendar. Okay. Here we go. Let's see. 3 (SHORT PAUSE WHILE THE COURT CALCULATES.) 4 THE COURT: My silence is not confusing, I hope. I'm 5 not as good at reading calendars as I am other things, so bear 6 with me, please. 7 (CONTINUED PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) THE COURT: All right. Here is what the Court will 8 order under the circumstances. It's the policy of the Court, 9 10 as I indicated earlier, to have the matter presented without any interceding hearings, discovery disputes, whatever may come 11 12 about, which Judge Friot can certainly deal with on his own 13 based upon what transpires between today and the deadlines that 14 I set based upon my policy. I've done -- and, Counsel, I'm not 15 trying to explain what I'm going to do, but basically other 16 than to tell you I've handled hundreds of these, unfortunately, 17 and my policy has always been the same and it's the Court's 18 policy to always be the same. 19 And, basically, with our previous policy, without going 20 into a definite explanation, would be that it would be ordered at this time that the petition of the Petitioner should be 2.1 22 filed on or before December 5th, 2022. And that petition will 2.3 state with specificity the grounds for relief, the facts and authorities alleged by the Petitioner for relief, and a 24 25 statement as to whether or not each ground asserted has been

properly exhausted or is subject to procedural bar.

2.1

2.3

Motions for leave to conduct discovery must be filed on or before December 5th, 2022. That is not a coincidence. That has always been consistent with the Court. Discovery motions should be filed on or before the deadline for the filing of the petition.

Respondent shall file a response to the petition no later than 60 days following the filing of the petition. In that regard, the specific day, if you're interested, would be February 13th of 2023. Now, I'm not establishing that as the date. I am establishing it that the response shall be filed 60 days following the filing of the petition. So if the petition is filed earlier than that, the response date would be moved up.

And then also regarding a reply, the Petitioner may file a reply to the response no later than 45 days following the filing of the response. The same statement refers to the timing. The real date is 45 days after the actual filing of the response. The date regarding what the Court uses to file deadlines for motions for evidentiary hearing would result in that date being March 30th, 2022. So the deadlines for filing motions for evidentiary hearing is hereby set at March 30, 2022, which, if all days are used by all parties as to each deadline, that would be the date of the actual filing of the reply.

1	Does everybody understand that? Any questions?
2	(THE CLERK AND THE COURT HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD
3	DISCUSSION.)
4	THE COURT: What did I say, '22? On what? Oh,
5	excuse me. Yeah, let me repeat it. I messed it up. My clerk
6	brought it to my attention. Okay. Let me start again. And
7	I'm going to enter a written order with these dates specified
8	in the order.
9	The petition shall be filed on or before December 5th,
10	2022.
11	Motions for discovery must be filed on or before December
12	5th, 2022.
13	The Respondent shall file a response to the petition no
14	later than 60 days following the filing of the petition.
15	The Petitioner may reply to the response no later than 45
16	days following the filing of the response.
17	And a motion for evidentiary hearing must be filed on or
18	before March 30th of 2023. I think I misspoke earlier and said
19	2022. So evidentiary hearing motion must be filed and that
20	would coincide with, again, the deadline for the filing of a
21	reply if all times are used up at each stage of the proceeding
22	or the schedule, I should say.
23	We're going to take up now does anyone have any
24	questions about those dates?
25	MR. LABOVITZ: Your Honor, this is Mr. Labovitz. One

```
1
               Is the request for evidentiary hearing -- the motion
 2
    for evidentiary hearing date, does that fit that March 30th, or
 3
    could that vary?
 4
              THE COURT: No, it does not vary.
 5
              MR. LABOVITZ: (Unintelligible).
 6
              THE COURT: Let me make it very clear. The only --
 7
    the varying dates are the dates of filing the response and the
 8
    reply.
 9
         The definite dates of filing a motion for discovery is
    December 5th, 2022.
10
         The definite deadline for filing a motion for evidentiary
11
    hearing is March 30th, 2023. Those dates are etched in stone.
12
13
         The filing of the response and the reply are in conformity
14
    with the 60 days and the 45 days.
         I don't try to make it confusing, I really don't, but
15
16
    sometimes I understand why you're confused because I get
17
                   That's the way the court -- all judges of the
    confused too.
18
    court got together 25 years ago, and these are the -- these are
19
    the systematic ways we put these deadlines in motion. And I
20
    know it seems confusing, but I want to make sure you
2.1
    understand.
22
         In other words, you file your petition before -- on or
2.3
   before December 5th.
24
         Mr. Farris will respond to that no later than 60 days
25
    following the date you file your petition.
```

1 You may reply to the response no later than 45 days 2 following the date the response is filed. 3 The hard -- the etched-in-stone deadline for filing a 4 motion for discovery is December 5th, 2022. 5 And the etched-in-stone deadline for filing a motion for 6 evidentiary hearing is March 30th, 2023. 7 Are we clear? MR. LABOVITZ: Yes, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Now, nothing should indicate (sic) your 10 ability to go to Judge Friot and have these dates changed. These are the dates for the purpose of this proceeding only. 11 12 And if there's something that happens now and between these 13 deadlines, you have every right and courses as the assigned 14 judge, Judge Friot, would have every obligation to hear you and 15 determine if these dates should be changed or altered. Okay? 16 Now, the Petitioner has filed an application to proceed in 17 forma pauperis, which is hereby denied, as the petitioner paid 18 the \$5 filing fee on March 31st, 2022. 19 And I assume, Ms. Hunter, you wish to offer your customary 20 objection to the fact that the Respondent shall transmit the 2.1 state court record, including all trial and post-conviction 22 proceedings, to the Court on or before the date the response is 2.3 due to be filed? 24 MS. HUNT: Yes. We renew our objection, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: I will note your objection. It will be

1 overruled. That's a little inside joke we have here sometimes, 2 Pennsylvania people. If you want to know more about it, talk 3 to Ms. Hunt. She gets mad at me sometimes, but she's kind of 4 given in on fighting and so we've given up. 5 Bottom line is the state court record will be filed on or 6 before the response is filed. 7 And, again, the parties are advised of General Order 10-1 filed on January 11, 2011. Now, Counsel in Pennsylvania, I 8 9 would -- I know you've done things with Judge Friot and I 10 probably shouldn't have to tell you to do it, but that general order has to do with length of pleadings or briefs or whatever, 11 12 a lot of things the Court adheres to very strictly. So you may 13 want to be sure and look at that general order. I usually pass 14 out copies of it. Let me just broad-brush it here for you 15 while you're on. 16 The petition or opening brief shall be limited to 100 17 pages, exclusive of accompanying documents. 18 The response brief shall be limited to 125 pages, 19 exclusive of accompanying documents. 20 And the reply brief shall be limited to 25 pages, 21 exclusive of accompanying documents. 22 And then Local Civil Rule 7.1(d) goes into the print 2.3 style, footnotes shall not be less than -- I don't want to go into it -- 13-point type. It gets real definitive after that. 24 25 But bottom line, page limits on the petition are 100, response

```
1
    125, and the reply 25.
                            Okay?
 2
              MR. LABOVITZ:
                            Yes, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Now, that disposes of everything I have.
    Does counsel, while we're all together, have a need to
 4
 5
    communicate anything to the Court or to and from each other?
 6
              MR. LABOVITZ:
                            Nothing from Petitioner, Your Honor.
 7
              MS. HUNT: Nothing from the State, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: I appreciate it. And I'm glad you didn't
 8
    have to fly all the way back to Oklahoma today because it
 9
10
    started raining yesterday, Noah moved in with his Ark last
    night, and it's still pouring down rain here. So you'll be
11
12
    glad you didn't come.
13
              MR. LABOVITZ:
                             (Unintelligible).
14
              THE COURT: Same here. Good luck to you.
                                                          And please
    feel free to communicate with me, if necessary -- not just ex
15
16
    parte.
            I don't mean that.
                                But I appreciate your appearance.
17
    You come well recommended.
                                Judge Friot and I talked about you
18
    at length when I appointed you. And, unfortunately, I was
19
    trying to think of how many of these I've done. I started the
20
    process for our court here I think back in -- I can't remember,
2.1
    '95 or '96, so I'd love to hear how you do it someday and see
22
    if you think there's something unique or unusual or dead
2.3
    (unintelligible) wrong about how we do it here. But not --
    today is not the time or the situation.
24
25
         So thank you all very much. Court will be in recess
```

1	subject to call.
2	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED.)
3	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
4	I, Tracy Thompson, Federal Official Realtime Court
5	Reporter in and for the United States District Court for the
6	Western District of Oklahoma, do hereby certify that pursuant
7	to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code, that the
8	foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
9	electronically-recorded proceedings held in the above-
LO	entitled matter to the best of my ability to hear and
L1	understand said recording and that the transcript page format
L2	is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial
L3	Conference of the United States.
L 4	Dated this 20th day of July 2022.
L 5	
L 6	/S/ Tracy Thompson
L 7	Tracy Thompson, RDR, CRR
L 8	Federal Official Court Reporter
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	